

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAHN GREGORY THOMPSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

C. PFEIFFER, et al.

Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-01619 NODJ GSA (PC)

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'  
SECOND MOTION TO MODIFY  
DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING ORDER

(ECF No. 41)

DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS DUE **JANUARY  
23, 2024**

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

Defendants have filed a motion to modify the discovery and scheduling order. ECF No. 41. This is Defendants' second request to do so. See ECF Nos. 38, 39 (Defendants' first extension request; Court's grant of same). In the motion, Defendants ask that the Court extend the due date for dispositive motions to January 23, 2024. ECF No. 41 at 1, 3-4; 41-1 at 1-3.

In support of the request, counsel for Defendants states, amongst other things, that problems with Plaintiff being able to adequately respond to their discovery requests, a knee surgery Plaintiff had which warranted the request of additional medical records from Plaintiff's institution; problems with witness scheduling as well as technical and operational issues at Kern

1 Valley State Prison make a grant of the request necessary. ECF No. 41-1 at 2. Counsel further  
2 states that the extension of time will enable him to finish the dispositive motion and collect  
3 several additional declarations in support of it. Id. at 203.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

5 1. Defendants' second motion to modify the discovery and scheduling order to extend  
6 the due date of dispositive motions (ECF No. 41 et seq.) is GRANTED, and

7 2. Dispositive motions in this case shall be filed by **January 23, 2024**.

8  
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: **January 13, 2024**

**/s/ Gary S. Austin**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE